LENAWEE-LIVINGSTON-MONROE-WASHTENAW OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD VISION

"We envision that our communities have both an awareness of the impact of substance abuse and use, and the ability to embrace wellness, recovery and strive for a greater quality of life."

AGENDA July 23, 2015

705 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor Patrick Barrie Conference Room 9:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

- 1. Introductions & Welcome new members 5 minutes
- 2. Approval Of Agenda & June Minutes (Att. #1) (Board Action) 5 minutes
- 3. Audience Participation 3 minutes per person
- 4. Old Business:
 - a. Monroe Prevention RFP 2016c {Att. #2} (Board Action)— 5 minutes
 - b. Special Initiative PA2 RFP 2016B (Att. #3) (Board Action) 15 minutes
 - c. CMHPSM Regional SUD Financial Report {Att. #4, 4a-b} (Discussion) 15 minutes
- 5. New Business
 - a. Review SUD Draft Budget {Att. #5, 5a-b} (Discussion) 20 minutes
- 6. Report From Regional Board (Discussion) 5 minutes
 - a. All Board Meeting July 29
- 7. SUD Director Updates (Att. #6) (Discussion) 15 minutes

Next meeting: Thursday, August 27, 2015 9:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.

LENAWEE-LIVINGSTON-MONROE-WASHTENAW OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD Summary of June 25, 2015 meeting 705 N. Zeeb Road Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Members Present: David Oblak, Tom Waldecker, Charles Coleman, Kim Comerzan, Sheila

Little, William Green, Cheryl Davis

Members Absent: Melnee McPherson, Ralph Tillotson, Dave DeLano, Amy Fullerton, Mac

Marr, Cletus Smith, Dianne McCormick

Guests:

Staff Present: Stephannie Weary, Marci Scalera, Marie Irwin, Anne Marshall, Michael

Palmer, James Colaianne, Steve Holda

OPB Chair D. Oblak called the meeting to order at 9:38 a.m.

1. Introductions

2. Approval of May minutes

Motion by C. Coleman, supported by K. Comerzan, to approve the May minutes Motion carried

- 3. Audience Participation
 - None
- 4. Old Business
 - a. CMHPSM Regional SUD Financial Report
 - M. Irwin presented the SUD finance report.
 - Revenue trends continue as in previous months.
 - There is a lot of Healthy MI coming in.
 - Lenawee and Livingston are under-budget for SUD spending.
 - The PIHP continues to have positive operating income for SUD.
 - b. RFP specialty Funding Recommendations
 - OPB did not have a quorum; no action was able to be taken today.
 - M. Scalera reviewed the submitted proposals and staff recommendations.
 - OPB members recommended changing Opiate "prevention" to "intervention."
 - C. Davis noted that the Project Lazarus web site provides coupons for kits.
 - In Monroe, the Salvation Army will not be covered by PA2, but is able to be covered by other funding.
 - OPB members recommended including all rationale (such as minimal financial impact) in the explanation for all funding decisions.
 - OPB members requested that staff ask for an RSVP from OPB members for the July meeting.
- 5. New Business
 - a. Monroe Prevention RFP Updates

- M. Scalera provided an overview.
- No action was taken because a quorum was not present.

6. Report from Regional Board

- The regional board is searching for the new PIHP director
- Washtenaw Taskforce 2.0 provided a report. They continue to be on task.
- The all board meeting is tentatively scheduled for 7/29 in Monroe. The date should be confirmed within the next week, at which point invitations will go out.
- C. Coleman would like to have as many OPB members present as possible at the all board meeting.

7. SUD Director Updates

• SUD directors are working with the state on the Department of Corrections contracts that will be coming forth next year.

8. Meeting adjournment

Motion by C. Coleman, supported by W. Green, to adjourn the meeting Motion carried

Meeting adjourned 11:25 a.m.



CMHPSM SUD OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD ACTION REQUEST

Board Meeting Date:

July 23, 2015

Action Requested:

RFP #2016C – Substance Use Disorder Prevention – Monroe County

Recommend funding the following two proposals:

Catholic Charities of Southeast Michigan \$ 99,702.14

Interventions/Initiative: Student Prevention Leadership Teams, Peer Rx, and Michigan Model for Health Focus areas: Reduce alcohol, marijuana, nicotine, and prescription drug use among Monroe County youth.

Monroe County Intermediate School District \$114,444

Interventions/Initiative: Nurturing Parenting/Parents as Teachers Focus area: Reduce illicit drug use (and fetal/child impact)

Recommendation:

While the recommendation is to fund the above proposals in full, some programming elements will need improvements and technical assistance from the CMHPSM staff.

CMHPSM SUD OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD ACTION REQUEST

Board Meeting Date: July 23, 2015

Action Requested: Review and Vote on RFP #2016B recommendations

Background: The OPB is responsible for managing the PA2 Liquor Tax funds for each county. Funds can only be used for prevention, treatment and Recovery services. Funds are flexible and allow for creative programming, pilots and traditional services.

Proposals were reviewed by one or more staff and one or more Board or Community members. Final scores represented an average of all scores, with finance reviewing and scoring separately. The attached table describes the program, scores and funding requests. Given the PA2 revenues will be reduced beginning 2016, consideration was made for sustainability and availability of carry forward funds by county. Staff noted that in some cases, technical assistance would be necessary to assist providers in both program planning, implementation and finance requirements. Additionally, some programs could be reviewed in the future for other traditional funding streams or a combination of PA2 and other funds for future sustainability.

Connection to PIHP/MDCH Contract, Regional Strategic Plan or Shared Governance Model:

The OPB is responsible for making funding decisions for PA2 funds, and making recommendations on funding for other streams to the PIHP.

Recommendation:

Accept the staff recommendations as presented

LIVINGSTON COUNTY

Projected 2016 PA 2 Revenue: \$426,226 Current Unallocated \$2,331,387.93

Total Amount Requested: \$479,290 Recommended Amount to Fund: \$454,848.00

PROGRAM NAME	TYPE TIER	SUBMITTING AGENCY	AVERAGE PROPOSAL	FINANCE AVERAGE		AMOUNT EQUESTED	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS	SUSTAINABLE FUNDS AVAILABLE	CARRY FORWARD FUNDS AVAILABLE	FUND ?
IVAIVIE	HEK	AGENCY	SCORE	OF SCORE	, r	EQUESTED		FUNDS AVAILABLE	FUNDS AVAILABLE	f
			0002	VALUE						
								Would have to seek	Potentially: We	YES
	1						One of the highest scoring proposals; Could be a great	other funding to sustain program	could make a multi- year commitment	
							integrated partnership	after a few years by	for sustaining over	
							between MH, SUD,	combining revenue	revenue to give	
							Healthcare System	sources, i.e. federal	time to explore	
								block grant, mental	other resources.	
								health or other local funding.	Funds are available.	
								runung.		
Engagement			02.46	04.20	٠.	200 700 00				
Center		LCCMHA	92.16	94.29	\$:	398,780.00	High Score; funding	Can only use local	Potentially: this	YES
	2						partners include school,	funds to support this	could be funded	11.5
							court, CMH, DHS, Public	due to the nature of	solely by PA2	
wrap				100.00			Health	the match for	revenue under	
Around		LCCMHA	91.28		\$	40,000.00		childcare funds.	current projections.	
	3			100.00			Brings an evidenced based practice to children with	Yes – may also be funded under	Potentially: This is slated as a pilot and	YES
	3			100.00			high risk situations in 5 th	general prevention	would be evaluated	
							and 6 th grades	budget in the future.	for effectiveness	
Curriculum									and consideration	
Based									for future funding	
Support Group									either with PA2 or other state/federal	
(schools)		LCCMHA	84.25		\$	16,068.00			funds.	
						<u> </u>	Score was below threshold.	FY 15 funds will be	Could re-evaluate	NO
	3			100.00			Additionally, the project	used to purchase	the need for future	
Onioto							falls under the block grant	the Naloxone Kits as	years	
Opiate Intervention		LCCMHA	71.40		\$	24,442.00	funding plan for this year.	directed by the state.		
THE VEHICION		LCCIVILIA	, 1.70		٧	£ 7,77 £.00		Juic.		

MONROE COUNTY

Projected 2016 PA 2 Revenue: \$311,827 Current Unallocated \$242,090.89

Total Amount Requested: \$677,338 Recommended Amount to Fund: \$277,338

PROGRAM	TYPE	SUBMITTING	AVERAGE	FINANCE	AMOUNT	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS	SUSTAINABLE	CARRY FORWARD FUNDS	FUND?
NAME	TIER	AGENCY	PROPOSAL	AVERAGE	REQUESTED		FUNDS	AVAILABLE	
			SCORE	OF SCORE VALUE			AVAILABLE		
				VALUE			Program funds		
							can be managed	Potentially: Since there are	
						Program Scored in the 90%	with PA2. We	limited carry forward funds,	
Women						Range. May need some	may also look at	the program will have to be	
Empowering						Technical Assistance (TA) for	other resources	sustained in part with other	
Women,						finance. Overall program	to support the	resources such as Block	
Inc.	2	Paula's House	93.81	71.43	\$ 133,650	meets community needs.	program.	Grant.	YES
						This program has been			
						traditionally funded with PA2			
						Funds in the past. However,			
						it does meet criteria for			
						traditional funding i.e.			
						Medicaid, HMP, and Block Grant. Staff have been			
						working with provider this	This program	Not at this time. However,	
		The Salvation				year to "unbundle" and shift	cannot continue	staff will work with provider	
		Army Harbor				reimbursement to these	to be funded	for shifting resources to	
R.A.P.	1	Light	93.01	80.00	\$ 400,000	resources.	under PA2.	maintain program.	NO
		Catholic				Program scored high and			
		Charities of				meets the priority area for	Program can be	Potentially: Program would	
Peer		Southeast				ROSC including recovery	supported with	be eligible to use carry	
Support	2	Michigan	92.03	80.00	\$ 45,306	peers at the program level.	PA2 funds.	forward if necessary.	YES
							Program funds		
							can be managed	Potentially: Since there are	
						Program also scored high	with PA2. We	limited carry forward funds,	
						and meets community need.	will also look at	the program will have to be	
Touchstone		Touchstone				Provides Men's recovery	providing some	sustained in part with other	
Recovery Housing	2	Recovery of Michigan	91.16	74.29	\$ 60,000	housing. Also will need TA from financing.	support with other resources.	resources such as Block Grant.	YES
Housing		IVIICIIIgaii	91.10	74.23	7 00,000	Program adds an Evidence	other resources.	Grant.	1E3
Family		Catholic				Based Program to their		Potentially: We will explore	
Supports for		Charities of				Adolescent services for in-	Program can be	possibility for other funding	
adolescents		Southeast				home family therapy and	supported with	enhancements with	
& families	2	Michigan	82.01	80.00	\$ 38,382	case management	PA2 funds.	traditional resources.	YES
		. 0			, ,		1		-

LENAWEE COUNTY

Projected 2016 PA 2 Revenue: \$146,289 Current Unallocated \$1,007,929

Total Amount Requested: \$ 332,599 Recommended Amount to Fund: \$332,559

PROGRAM	TYPE	SUBMITTING	AVERAGE	FINANCE	AMO		STAFF	SUSTAINABLE	CARRY FORWARD FUNDS	FUND?
NAME	TIER	AGENCY	PROPOSAL SCORE	AVERAGE OF	REQUE	ESTED	RECOMMENDATIONS	FUNDS AVAILABLE	AVAILABLE	
				SCORE VALUE						
Intensive Home- based Therapy with youth	2	Lenawee County Probate Court	91.08	77.14	\$ 13	30,992	Program scored in high range. Will need some TA with Finance. Meets community needs. Provides evidence based services for youth involved in juvenile court.	Program has been funded in the past with PA2. Services have been expanded and enhanced.	Potentially: would need to support the program with some carry forward funds in next few years. Would look at whether program could be funded with traditional funds such as Medicaid, HMP and Block Grant	YES
Andy's Angels	3	Adrian Andy's Angels	74.92	71.43	\$	8,987	Since this is a grass roots, family-based program to increase awareness of Opiate addiction and community resources, the sophistication was limited, resulting in low score. TA would be required.	This would be a one-time funding request. Program is looking to become self-sustaining in future years. Staff would like to recommend one-time funding.*	Future initiatives could be requested through minigrant process or from other sources.	YES* with TA from staff and only one year of funding.
Engagement Center	1	LCMHA	75.15	85.71	\$ 19	92,620	LCMHA wants to develop an engagement center program. The scores did not meet threshold. Since this request is for a planning/pilot grant, staff could work with provider to re-valuate the budget and	While funding requested is currently at this level, the request for the Year 2 is \$250,000. This could be achieved solely through PA2 Funds, but may need other resources for future years.*	Potentially: At this time the additional funding could come from carry forward in Year two. But there must be a sustainability plan built in.	YES* with TA from staff and future plans.

planning needs and		
provide TA.		

WASHTENAW COUNTY

Projected 2016 PA 2 Revenue: \$906,256 Current Unallocated \$2,406,665

Total Amount Requested: \$1,011,625 Recommended Amount to Fund: \$981,646

PROGRAM NAME	TYPE TIER	SUBMITTING AGENCY	AVERAGE PROPOSAL SCORE	FINANCE AVERAGE OF SCORE VALUE	AMOUNT REQUESTED	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS	SUSTAINABLE FUNDS AVAILABLE	CARRY FORWARD FUNDS AVAILABLE	FUND?
EC (Hamilton		Home of New				Achieving the highest score of all proposals, the EC continues to exceed expectations for a viable community	Can be sustained	Potentially: if needed in future, carry forward funds could be	
House)	1	Vision	96.65	88.57	\$391,228	program	through PA2 Funds.	used.	YES
Integrated		Corner Health Center/Ozone			4450.000	New program proposed by two youth organizations partnering for integrated adolescent services. Much needed	Can be sustained	Potentially: if needed in future, carry forward funds could be used. Could look to develop other resources through Medicaid and HMP for future	
Health Youth	2	House	95.64	91.43	\$150,000	resource. New program to	through PA2 funds.	supports	YES
Integrated Health Housing Case Mgmt.	2	Avalon Housing	93.20	80.00	\$150,000	provide intensive case management and health services for chronic homeless SUD folks in housing setting.	Can be sustained through PA2 funds.	Potentially: if needed, could look to see if any services could be covered with other fund sources.	YES
Recovery Community Support Services Program	1	Home of New Vision	88.25	80.00	\$290,418	This program provides intensive case management for homeless SUD folks with a strong peer component. Will place peers in the primary care clinic to improve health outcomes.	Can be sustained through PA 2 Funds.	Potentially: if needed, will look to see if some case management and peer services will be eligible for funding with Medicaid and HMP in the future.	YES
riogialli	1	VISIOII	00.23	80.00	7470,410	nearth outcomes.	While this program	Could be funded with	IES
Recovery Community		Home of New				New concept. Did not meet scoring	meets PA2 funding, staff does not recommend	unallocated funds but only on a annual review time basis. Could re-work and submit a mini-grant	
Organization	3	Vision	77.01	71.43	\$ 29,979	thresholds.	funding.	at a later date.	NO

	REGIONAL withdrawn Total Amount Requested: \$ 46,000 Recommended Amount to Fund: \$ 0													
PROGRAM NAME	TYPE TIER	SUBMITTING AGENCY	AVERAGE PROPOSAL SCORE	FINANCE AVERAGE OF SCORE/VALUE	AMOUNT REQUESTED	STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS	SUSTAINABLE FUNDS AVAILABLE	CARRY FORWARD FUNDS AVAILABLE	FUND?					
Regional Learning Collaborative for Coalitions	2	Monroe Community Mental Health Authority	60.26	Rejected	46,000	Lowest scoring proposal. Concern the program did not fall within contractual requirements.	Would have to pull funds from each county in the region.	None	NO					

Community Mental Health Partnership of Southeast Michigan

SUD Financial Highlights

May FYTD Report

Statement of Revenues and Expenses

1. Revenue

- Medicaid eligibles are down for the Region resulting in less Medicaid revenue. The annualized impact if the current trend continues would be approximately (\$96,894) less than the projection.
- Healthy Michigan continues to exceed budget projections we are \$711,674 higher than the
 year to date budget for May. The annualized impact would be approximately \$1,067,511
 higher than the projection. There is an indication the State may reduce the rate paid for
 Healthy Michigan eligibles to more closely align revenue with expenses reported by all
 PIHPs.

2. Funding For SUD Services

• We continue to be over-budget in both Monroe and Washtenaw counties. We have all fee for service in Monroe and partial fee for service in Washtenaw. In Washtenaw the trend in higher utilization of long-term residential and opiate detox services continues. In Monroe we are monitoring and continue to get a clearer picture of the need for services for Monroe County residents. Due to the need for services and the availability of funding we are not recommending any action beyond monitoring to ensure medical necessity is being met through our utilization review and authorization process.

Summary of Revenue and Expense by Fund

- This report takes the revenue and expenses and shows surplus / (deficit) by fund source. ROSC funds are paid out to the four ROSC providers based on budget; the estimated utilization line is based on encounter data that has been submitted through May. The utilization is based on a percentage of the whole and won't be finalized until yearend reports are completed.
- All fund sources are showing revenues exceeding expenses through May.

The changes in our funding for Prevention (moving from PA2 to Block Grant), will appear on our June report.

Community Mental Health Partnership of Southeast Michigan STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES CHANGES IN NET POSITION For the Eight Months Ending 5/31/2015

	Original Budget	YTD Actual	YTD Budget	YTD Actual O/(U) Budget
Operating Revenue	Duaget	Actual	Duuget	O/(O) Budget
Medicaid Capitation	\$1,500,000	\$935,404	\$1,000,000	(\$64,596)
Healthy Michigan Plan	2,960,442	2,685,302	1,973,628	711,674
MIChild	2,300,442	9,145	0	9,145
SUD Community Grant	3,767,460	2,511,644	2,511,640	4
SUD PA2 - Cobo Tax Revenue	3,717,346	2,592,840	2,478,232	114,608
Total Operating Revenue	\$11,945,248	\$8,734,335	\$7,963,500	\$770,835 1a.
Funding For SUD Services				
Lenawee County	\$1,022,761	\$639,691	\$681,840	(\$42,149)
Livingston County	1,309,226	836,261	872,818	(36,557)
Monroe County	1,143,346	850,360	762,232	88,128
Washtenaw County	3,203,188	2,290,296	2,135,464	154,832
Total Funding For SUD Service	\$6,678,521	\$4,616,608	\$4,452,354	\$164,254 1b.
Other Contractual Obligations				
USE and HICA Tax	300,188	244,289	200,125	44,164
Total Other Costs	\$300,188	\$244,289	\$200,125	\$44,164 1c.
CMHPSM Administrative Costs				
Salary& Fringe	\$410,758	\$240,171	273,839	(\$33,668)
Administrative Contracts	67,589	57,987	45,059	12,928
All Other Costs	44,344	32,611	29,563	3,048
Total Administrative Expense	\$522,691	\$330,769	\$348,461	\$(17,692)
Total Operating Expense	\$7,501,400	\$5,191,666	\$5,000,940	\$190,726
Operating Income (Loss)	\$4,443,848	\$3,542,669	\$2,962,560	\$580,109 1d.
Non-Operating Revenues				
Interest Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Change In Net Position	\$4,443,848	\$3,542,669	\$2,962,560	\$580,109
		•		·

¹a. Revenue trends continue as in previous months.

¹b. Lenawee's Women's Program is running significantly under budget the CMHSP is working with the provider to address the issue. Monroe County and Washtenaw County continue to see higher utilization in fee for service billings.

¹c. Higher Healthy Michigan revenue is resulting in higher HICA and Use tax.

¹d. We continue to have positive operating income.

Summary Of Revenue & Expense						F P	0						_	tal E P
		Medicaid		MIChild	Hea	Funding althy Michigan		- Block Grant	SUI	D-COBO/PA2		Other	. 10	otal Funding Sources
						yega		2.00.1 0.0.11		2 2 2 2 3 7 . 7 . 2		<u> </u>		
Revenues														
Funding From MDCH	\$	935,404	\$	9,145	\$	2,685,302	\$	2,511,644			\$	-	\$	6,141,495
PA2/COBO Tax Funding	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,592,840	\$	-	\$	2,592,840
Other	\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-
Total Revenues	\$	935,404	\$	9,145	\$	2,685,302	\$	2,511,644	\$	2,592,840	\$	-	\$	8,734,335
Expenses														
Funding for County SUD Programs														
Lenawee	\$	161,930	\$	-	\$	124,572	\$	270,845	\$	82,344	\$	-	\$	639,691
Lenawee Utilization - Based on Encounters	\$	(33,484)			\$	112,587	\$	(79,103)					\$	-
Livingston	\$	106,566	\$	-	\$	125,730	\$	396,648	\$	207,317	\$	-	\$	836,261
Livingston Utilization - Based on Encounters	\$	36,137	\$	632	\$	42,894	\$	(79,662)					\$	-
Monroe	\$	88,375	\$	-	\$	159,533	\$	132,161	\$	470,292	\$	-	\$	850,360
All Fee for Service - Room&Board Adjustment	\$	(2,322)			\$	(3,105)	\$	5,427					\$	-
Washtenaw	\$	423,510	\$	-	\$	585,464	\$	913,980	\$	367,342	\$	-	\$	2,290,296
Washtenaw Utilization - Based on Encounters	\$	11,326			\$	178,480	\$	(189,806)					\$	-
Total SUD Expenses	\$	792,036	\$	632	\$	1,326,155	\$	1,370,490	\$	1,127,295	\$	-	\$	4,616,608
Other Operating Costs														
SUD Use Tax	\$	55,937	\$	547	\$	160,581	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	217,065
SUD HICA Claims Tax	\$	7,016	\$	68	\$	20,140	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	27,224
Total Operating Costs	\$	62,953	\$	615	\$	180,721	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	244,289
Administrative Cost Allocation	\$	61,259	\$	27	\$	102,574	\$	166,909	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	-	\$	330,769
Total Expenses	\$	916,248	\$	1,274	\$	1,609,450	\$	1,537,399	\$	1,127,295	\$	-	\$	5,191,666
D	•	40.450	Φ.	7.074	Φ.	4.075.050	Φ.	074.045	•	4.405.545	•			0.540.000
Revenues Over/(Under) Expenses	\$	19,156	\$	7,871	\$	1,075,852	\$	974,245	\$	1,465,545	\$	-	\$	3,542,669

Community Mental Health Partnership Of Southeast Michigan <u>SUMMARY OF SUD REVENUE AND EXPENSE</u> FY 2016 Budget

Revenue & Expense By Category						
	EV	2016 DRAFT	EV	2015 Budget	ΕV	′ 2015 O/(U)
		Projection		s Approved		FY 2016
Funding Sources						
Medicaid Allocated On Expense	\$	1,397,916	\$	1,500,000	\$	(102,084)
Healthy Michigan Plan		3,334,500		2,960,442		374,058
State Allocation						
Community Grant Treatment	\$	2,481,563	\$	2,841,484	\$	(359,921)
Women's Spec. Services Tx		148,204		148,204		-
Community Grant Prevention State Disability Assistance		1,054,838 82,855		694,917 82,855		359,921
Total State Allocation	\$	3,767,460	\$	3,767,460	\$	-
PA2/COBO Funding						
FY 2016 Distribution50% Of Total	\$	1,790,599	\$	3,717,346	\$	(1,926,747)
Use Of Reserves	•	309,526	·	-	•	309,526
Total PA2/COBO Funding	\$	2,100,125	\$	3,717,346	\$	(1,617,221)
Total Funding Sources	\$	10,600,001	\$	11,945,248	\$	(1,345,247)
Uses Of Funding						
Service Costs						
Prevention Services	\$	1,108,532	\$	1,205,740	\$	(97,208)
Treatment Services ROSC		3,094,329		4,370,572		(1,276,243)
Treatment Services FFS		1,740,289		-		1,740,289
Tx Services, Recovery Housing FFS Women's Specialty Services (Tx)		200,000 148,204		- 148,204		200,000
State Disability Assistance		82,855		82,855		-
Local Program Initiatives		2,046,431		778,950		1,267,481
Other		-		-		-
Total County SUD Programs	\$	8,420,640	\$	6,586,321	\$	1,834,319
Other Contractual Obligations						
Medicaid Use Tax 5.98%	\$	83,595	\$	266,735	\$	(183,140)
HMP Use Tax 5.98%	Ψ.	199,404	Ψ	-	Ψ	199,404
Medicaid HICA Tax 0.75%		10,484		33,453		(22,969)
HMP Use Tax 0.75%		25,009		-		25,009
Total Operating Costs	\$	318,492	\$	300,188	\$	18,304
Administrative Costs						
Traditional Medicaid 22.31%	\$	130,504	\$	614,891	\$	(484,387)
HMP Medicaid 37.68%	·	220,413		-	·	220,413
State Agreement 40.01%		234,042		-		234,042
Total Administrative Costs	\$	584,959	\$	614,891	\$	(29,932)
Total Use Of Funding	\$	9,324,091	\$	7,501,400	\$	1,822,691
Sources Over/(Under) Uses	\$	1,275,910	\$	4,443,848	\$	(3,167,938)

Rev. & Exp. By Fund Source										
	Medicaid		Healthy MI Plan		Stat	e Agreement	PA2	/COBO Local	Fu	Total and Sources
Total Fund Source	\$	1,397,916	\$	3,334,500	\$	3,767,460	\$	2,100,125	\$	10,600,001
Use Of Funds										
Prevention Services	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,054,838	\$	53,694	\$	1,108,532
Treatment Services ROSC		764,609		1,438,863		890,857		-		3,094,329
Treatment Services FFS		570,467		962,032		207,790		-		1,740,289
Tx Services, Recovery Housing FFS		-		-		200,000		-		200,000
Tx Residential Room & Board Adjustment		(69,498)		(119,799)		189,297		-		-
Women's Sp. Services & State Disability Assistance		-		-		231,059		-		231,059
Local Program Initiatives		-		-		-		2,046,431		2,046,431
Other Contractual Obligations		94,079		224,413		-		-		318,492
Administration		130,504		220,413		234,042		-		584,959
Total Use Of Funds	\$	1,490,161	\$	2,725,922	\$	3,007,883	\$	2,100,125	\$	9,324,091
Sources Over/(Under) Uses	\$	(92,245)	\$	608,578	\$	759,577	\$		\$	1,275,910

Community Mental Health Partnership Of Southeast Michigan <u>SUMMARY OF PA2 REVENUE AND EXPENSE</u> FY 2016 Budget

FY 2016 Revenue & Expense									
	Lenawee County		Livingston County		Mor	roe County	Wash	ntenaw County	 Total SUD
PA2/COBO Funding FY 2016 Distribution50% Of Total	\$	146,289	\$	426,226	\$	311,827	\$	906,257	\$ 1,790,599
Service Costs									
Local Initiatives June 26 Review Traditional Prevention Programs	\$	332,599 242	\$	454,848 47,879	\$	277,338 5,573	\$	981,646 -	\$ 2,046,431 53,694
Total Local Service Costs	\$	332,841	\$	502,727	\$	282,911	\$	981,646	\$ 2,100,125
FY 2016 Funding O/(U) Costs	\$	(186,552)	\$	(76,501)	\$	28,916	\$	(75,389)	\$ (309,526)
Memo:									
Use Of Prior Years' PA2 Funding Remaining Prior Years' Funding	\$	186,552 821,377	\$	76,501 2,254,887	\$	- 242,091	\$	75,389 2,331,276	\$ 338,442 5,649,631

Comparison Of Funding											
	Lenawee County		Livingston County		Monroe County		Wasl	ntenaw County	Total SUD		
FY 2016 Distribution FY 2015 Budget	\$	146,289 300,498	\$	426,226 861,912	\$	311,827 632,054	\$	906,257 1,922,882	\$	1,790,599 3,717,346	
FY 2016 O/(U) FY 2015 Funding	\$	(154,209)	\$	(435,686)	\$	(320,227)	\$	(1,016,625)	\$	(1,926,747)	
Note: 1. Percentage Change		-51.32%		-50.55%		-50.66%		-52.87%		-51.83%	

- 2. Prior to FY 2016, Oakland, Macomb and Wayne counties subsidized the other 80 Michigan counties distribution of the Liquor Tax.
- 3. For FY 2015 the subsidy from Oakland, Macomb and Wayne for the 80 counties was 100% of what was collected in their counties.
- 4. The Hotel accommodation component of the Liquor Tax (\$24 million) is being used to pay the debt on the 2008 COBO renovation.
- 4. Beginning with FY 2016, all counties are limited to receiving only the Liquor Tax collected in their county.
- 5. For FY 2106, the 80 counties will receive 101% of the FY 2015 Liquor Tax collected.

OVERSIGHT POLICY BOARD

JULY 23, 2015 - DIRECTORS REPORT

1. Update on Opiate initiatives and Naloxone distribution:

- a. Washtenaw County Sheriff department has assisted us in obtaining the medication and Nasal atomizers. They have designed a training program for their officers. We are looking at purchasing the zipper pouches to hold the components in the kit. Marci presented progress on the opiate initiative with Alice Penrose, MD to the Washtenaw Health Initiative (WHI) Steering Committee. They continue to offer support to the committee and embrace the plan to bring Project Lazarus into the county. They will be instrumental in ensuring the participation of the healthcare stakeholders in our community work.
- b. Livingston County Their workgroup is in the process of getting the naloxone and nasal atomizers. Project Lazarus is working with them on their initiatives.
- c. Monroe County April Demers reported that the prevention coalition met with law enforcement around the opiate prevention/overdose issues and they are also on board with obtaining naloxone kits. We will be meeting with key stakeholders and include CMH and public health in the discussion.
- d. Lenawee County prevention coalition is working with sheriff on developing a Lenawee approach for first responders.

2. State Updates:

- a. Recovery housing paired with outpatient services can be paid for with block grant funds as long as it is defined in the treatment plan. This gives the opportunity for folks to have a stabilizing factor in their recovery that had not been available before. Because we have excess funds, the state is encouraging us to be creative in how we support clients with these funds. Also looking at enhancing services for women's specific program.
- TA Draft on standards for recovery housing is released with the goal to provide framework for contracting with quality housing providers. Uses the National Association for Recovery Residences as a guideline. Once approved, this will allow PHIPs to work with providers on meeting standards.
- c. Recovery assessment How aligned is the region along Recovery Oriented elements and principles. Survey data will be used to look at regional outcomes.
- d. Bill sponsored by Nick Jones that would classify e-cigarettes as coming under the tobacco act. This would expand the oversight for vender education, updating the master retailer list and monitoring. Also will require questions to be added on the YRBS and MIPHY youth surveys so we can assess use patterns among kids.
- e. Along the same issue, there was a question about schools giving out work permits for the kids serving as decoys for the tobacco stings. They must be included in the worker's comp coverage by the hiring agency (prevention program). Parents cannot waive liability for the kids, which is what was happening in Genesee. The attorney general's office is looking at this.

- f. Governor's Taskforce on Opiate use does not have SUD TX or prevention representation, nor do they have anyone from the department. SAPT directors are preparing a white paper on issues that could be considered by the task force.
- g. HB 4447 passed and signed into law. This assesses fees for license application and renewal in the amount of \$500.00 per license. They still have not decided whether to drop the prevention license but we are urging that they continue it to uphold standards.
- h. LARA has relaxed the licensed professional ratio in programs to allow persons with MCBAP certification. MCL333.18107 and MCL 333.18115 defines licensed professionals.